

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My correct city and state of residence, my post office address and my citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

		" REWR	ITEABLE ELECTRONIC FU	SES"	
The spe	cificatio	n of this subject matter	:		
		is attached hereto.	·		
	X	was filed on March 30,	2004;		
		was assigned serial No	o. <u>10/813,907;</u>		
,		which was amended or	n;		,
application do not be my invention sale in the has not be application represent design particular desi	on, incluelieve the tion the thereo e Unite peen pa on in an tatives eatent ap	uding the claims, as ame the claimed invention ereof, or patented or designed for more than one year d States of America motented or made the subly country foreign to the or assigns more than two polication) prior to this a	•	erred to a United Some of the Sound of the S	above. I do not know and States of America before ountry before my as not in public use or on and that the invention fore the date of this on filed by me or my legal on) or six months (for a
ı applicatio	acknov on in ac	vledge the duty to disclo cordance with 37 C.F.R	ose information which is material I. §1.56(a).	to the ex	xamination of this
patent or	invento	or's certificate listed belo	enefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of a ow and have also identified below ate before that of the application o	any for	eign application for patent
PRIOR F	OREIG	N APPLICATION(S)		<u>Priority</u>	Claimed
Number	(Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No

PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim th listed below:	ne benefit under 35 U.S.C. §11	9(e) of any United States provisional application(s)
Application Number	Filing Date	·
Application Number	Filing Date	·
PARENT PATENT APPL	LICATION(S)	
and, insofar as the subje United States application material information as d	ct matter of each of the claims (s) in the manner provided by	O of any United States application(s) listed below of this application is not disclosed in these prior 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose thich occurred between the filing date of the prior g date of this application.
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Robert E. Krebs, Registration No. 25,885; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; Thierry K. Lo, Registration No. 49,097; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: 47,824; Kalhed Shami Registration No. 38,745; William E. Winters, Registration No. 42,232; Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R.§10.9 (b)); John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282; Becky L. Troutman, Registration No. 36,703; Hal J. Bohner, Registration No. 27,856; and Gregory T. Kavounas, Registration No. 37,862 as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. If this application is assigned by me I agree and understand that the above-named attorneys will represent the assignee and not me.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

David B. Ritchie

Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Telephone: (408) 292-5800

Facsimile: (408) 287-8040

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

INVENTOR	itanha I D	- La	8-5	-OH	
SIGNATUR	E		DATE		
Christopher		J.	Diorio		
NAME:	FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LA	ST	
170	01 NW 15 th Avenue	Shoreline	WA	98177	
P.O. ADDRE	ESS: # AND STREET APT#	CITY	STATE	ZIP	
CITIZENSH	IP: USA		•		
INVENTOR	2:				
x SIGNATURI	7		DATE		
SIGIVATOR			DATE		
	léric ETDOT	J.	Bernard		
NAME:	FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LAS	ST	
26A	rte des Michels	Fuveau	FRANCE	13710	
P.O. ADDRE	SS: # AND STREET APT#	CITY	STATE	ZIP	
CITIZENSHI	P: France				
INVENTOR:					
\mathbf{x}	60 2 2		5 Artis	2004	
SIGNATURE	3		DATE	000	
Todd		E	Humes		
NAME:	FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LAS		
817]	NW 200 th Street	Shoreline	WA	98177	
P.O. ADDRE	SS: # AND STREET APT#	CITY	STATE	ZIP	
CITIZENSHI	P: USA				

INVENTOR 4:

x Alberts Kerwarts 8/0

GNATURE DA

Alberto Pesavento
NAME: FIRST MIDDLE OR MI LAST

400 Wall Street# 315SeattleWA98121P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREETAPT#CITYSTATEZIP

CITIZENSHIP: Italy

37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or

prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.





As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My correct city and state of residence, my post office address and my citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled.

		•	•		
disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:					
	" REWR	UTEABLE ELECTRONIC FU	JSES"		
The specification	on of this subject matter				
	is attached hereto.				
X	was filed on March 30	. 2004;			
	was assigned serial N	o. <u>10/813,907;</u>	•		
	which was amended o	n;			
do not believe to my invention the invention there in the Unite has not been partication in arrepresentatives design patent application to the invente application in arrepresentatives design patent application in arrepresentatives design patent application in arrepresentatives design patent application in the invente	nat the claimed invention of the claimed invention or patented or desired or more than one year of States of America mustented or made the substructure of the country foreign to the or assigns more than topplication) prior to this a	on was ever known or used in the scribed in any printed publication or prior to this application, that the pre than one year prior to this application of an inventor's certificate is United States of America on an welve months (for a utility patent application.	e same was not in public use or on plication, and that the invention ssued before the date of this application filed by me or my legal application) or six months (for a		
l acknor application in ac	wledge the duty to discled cordance with 37 C.F.F.	ose information which is materia R. §1.56(a).	ll to the examination of this		
patent or invent	or's certificate listed bel	enefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of ow and have also identified belo ate before that of the application	w any foreign application for patent		
PRIOR FOREIG	N APPLICATION(S)		Priority Claimed		
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes No		

PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim listed below:	the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §1	19(e) of any United States provisional application(s)
Application Number	Filing Date	
Application Number	Filing Date	·
PARENT PATENT APP	PLICATION(S)	
United States application as	n(s) in the manner provided by	20 of any United States application(s) listed below is of this application is not disclosed in these prior 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose which occurred between the filing date of the prior and date of this application.
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Robert E. Krebs, Registration No. 25,885; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; Thierry K. Lo, Registration No. 49,097; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: 47,824; Kalhed Shami Registration No. 38,745; William E. Winters, Registration No. 42,232; Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R.§10.9 (b)); John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282; Becky L. Troutman, Registration No. 36,703; Hal J. Bohner, Registration No. 27,856; and Gregory T. Kavounas, Registration No. 37,862 as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. If this application is assigned by me I agree and understand that the above-named attorneys will represent the assignee and not me.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

David B. Ritchie

Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Telephone: (408) 292-5800

Facsimile: (408) 287-8040

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

INVENTOR 1:				
_x	•			
SIGNATURE		DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Christopher	1.			
NAME: FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	<u>Diorio</u> LAST		
17001 NW 15 th Avenue	~ .			
P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT	# CITY	WA STATE	98177	
CITIZENSHIP: USA	CITT	SIAIE	ZIP	
INVENTOR 2:				
_x		08/	05/200	
SIGNATURE		DATE	0,7200	
Frédéric		-		
NAME: FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	Bernard LAST		
	MIDDLE OR MI	LA	ST	
26A rte des Michels	Fuveau	FRANCE	13710	
P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#	CITY	STATE	ZIP	
CITIZENSHIP: France				
INVENTOR 3:				
_X				
SIGNATURE		DATE		
Todd	E.			
NAME: FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	Hum LAS	T	
817 NW 200th Street	O1 1:		•	
P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#	Shoreline CITY	WA STATE	98177 710	
CITIZENSHIP: USA	0111	SIMIE	ZIP	

INVENTOR 4:

X SIGNATURE		DATE	
Alberto		Pes	avento
NAME: FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LAST	
400 Wall Street # 315	Seattle	WA	98121
P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET	APT# CITY	STATE	ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: Italy

37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or

prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PPLICANT:

Christopher J. Diorio et al.

ERIAL NO.:

10/813,907

FILING DATE:

March 30, 2004

TITLE:

Rewriteable Electronic Fuses

EXAMINER:

Unassigned

ART UNIT:

2818

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Missing Parts, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date printed below:

MAIL STOP: Missing Parts **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIM TO SMALL ENTITY STATUS

Small Entity Was Established in Good Faith

Applicants hereby submit that the small entity status in this case was established in good faith.

Applicants hereby withdraw the claim in the present application to Small Entity status ab initio.

Enclosed is our check in the amount of \$3,014.00 for the filing fee and surcharge for assignee as a large entity. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,

THELEN REID & PRIEST, LLP

Dated: September 14, 2004

David B. Ritchie

Reg. No. 31,562

Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640

Telephone: (408) 292-5800 Fax: (408) 287-8040